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Genesis of SE in Maryland

• Long-standing collaborative partnership with MHA and DORS which demonstrates the shared vision and central value of employment for individuals with SMI.

• Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for Supported Employment (SE) between MHA and DORS for 20 years, which outlines joint funding of SE.
Critical Policy Catalysts

• DORS decision to grant deemed status approval for supported employment for Mental Health Programs approved by DHMH, Office of Health Care Quality.

• MHA mandate of referral to DORS for SE, which leverages DORS funding for Public Mental Health System (PMHS) consumers. (COMAR 10.21.28).

• MHA decision to direct SE funding exclusively to competitive, integrated employment and not agency-sponsored employment.
Key features of SE service design

• Braided funding mechanism leverages three funding sources: MA through MA Rehabilitation Option, state general funds to MHA through legislative appropriation, and DORS Vocational Rehabilitation funds from RSA.

• Deemed status of single SE provider to deliver discrete, and mutually exclusive services in PMHS and DORS system.

• Single point of entry (one authorization request; DORS application embedded in ASO system).
Key Features of SE Service Design (Continued)

• SE applicants are presumed eligible and to have a most significant disability for eligibility for DORS services.

• Guest access to ASO for DORS counselor to rehabilitation and treatment information.

• Seamless to provider and transparent to consumer.

• Appropriation of funding source is at the system level not the provider level (tied to authorization and CPT codes)

• Enhanced funding for EBP SE based on fidelity which is a proxy for outcomes a proxy for outcomes.
SE Funding Design Schematic
Statewide Dissemination and Implementation Strategies

- Inform consumers and family members so they know what services to request.
- Change financial incentives to reinforce EBP.
- Provide clinicians, practitioners, and agencies with training, consultation and ongoing feedback to enhance provider skill and organizational capacity for SE.
- Focus both on practice improvement and organizational change.
- Bring policy and regulations into alignment with EBP
Quality Improvement

• Two MHA fidelity assessors monitor fidelity to SE and two other EBPs annually.
• Approved by Office of Health Care Quality to provide both Psychiatric Rehabilitation Program (PRP) & Mental Health Vocational Program (MHVP) -SE services in compliance with COMAR 10.21.21 and COMAR 10.21.28.
• Receive training and technical assistance through a MHA approved training program and demonstrate required competencies and fidelity to EBP—SE model.
• Meet or exceed state-establish fidelity threshold on annual fidelity assessments to retain eligibility to bill the EBP rate for SE; all other SE programs may bill the standard rate.
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